

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,196	08/19/2003	Robert Stephen	746-P-9-USA	5730
7590 12/19/2005		EXAMINER		
DRUMMOND & DUCKWORTH			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
4590 MacArthi Suite 500	ır Blvd.,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Newport Beach	ı, CA 92660		1772	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\sim		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 1		
Office Action Summary		10/644,196	STEPHEN, ROBERT			
		Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>		
		Alexander Thomas	1772			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INSIDE IN THE MAILING INSIDE IN THE MAILING INSIDE IN THE INSIDE IN THE MAILING IN PROPERTY IN THE INSIDE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE I	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be dod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	September 2005.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
, Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupte oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(e)		•			
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ıry (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The phrases "said outer support member exerts ... retainer" and "said stop not engaging said inner retainer ... position" in claim 1 are not supported by the original disclosure.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "said stop not engaging said inner retainer when ... first position" in claim 1 is ambiguous. Claim 1 now defines the stop as "projecting radially outward from said inner retainer" and "not engaging said inner retainer", clearly both structures can not coexist in the fixture.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1772

- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hutter ('151). Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. The amendments to the claims do not add any structural features to the claims, they merely add things that may happen when the fixture is used or things that the fixture is capable of doing. The fixture shown is the reference is also capable of having its parts 67 and 68 contact each other so that the outer support member 68 exerts an upward force on the inner retainer 67, particularly before the fixture is attached to a flat substrate the two parts may contact each other and the outer support 68 may exert an upward force on the retainer part 67. Therefore, the fixture of the reference meets the instant claim limitations. Concerning the stop not engaging the inner retainer, the flanges 67, 76 may be considered stops projecting outward from the inner retainer. However the threads could also be considered stops and when the inner retainer is removed from the outer support they would not engage each other.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hutter ('656). Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. The amendments to the claims do not add any structural features to the claims, they merely add things that may happen when the fixture is used or things that the fixture is capable of doing. Concerning the last four lines of claim 1, the spokes 28 and 30 clearly restrict movement to a degree between the two parts of the fixture to which they are attached and would do so if moved to a second position. In any event, the instant claims are directed to a product and not a process of using the product that may include steps that reposition certain parts of the product.

Art Unit: 1772

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hutter ('656) in view of Hutter ('151). Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive for the reasons of record.

Specification

8. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: numeral 51, referred to on page 9, line 18 of the specification is not shown in the drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1772

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Degenedy & Neman